

Public Document Pack

NOTICE OF MEETING

www.rbwm.gov.uk



MAIDENHEAD TOWN FORUM

will meet on

MONDAY, 17TH JUNE, 2019

At 6.30 pm

in the

COUNCIL CHAMBER - TOWN HALL,

TO: MEMBERS OF THE MAIDENHEAD TOWN FORUM

COUNCILLORS BALDWIN, BHANGRA (VICE-CHAIRMAN), ROSS MCWILLIAMS, JOSHUA REYNOLDS, SINGH (CHAIR), TARGOWSKI AND HELEN TAYLOR

SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

COUNCILLORS CLIVE BASKERVILLE, CAMPO, STUART CARROLL, SIMON DUDLEY, HASELER, MAUREEN HUNT, NEIL KNOWLES AND DONNA STIMSON

Karen Shepherd – Service Lead- Governance - Issued: Tuesday, 11 June 2019

Members of the Press and Public are welcome to attend Part I of this meeting. The agenda is available on the Council's web site at www.rbwm.gov.uk or contact the Panel Administrator **Nabihah Hassan-Farooq** 01628 796345

Accessibility - Members of the public wishing to attend this meeting are requested to notify the clerk in advance of any accessibility issues

Fire Alarm - In the event of the fire alarm sounding or other emergency, please leave the building quickly and calmly by the nearest exit. Do not stop to collect personal belongings and do not use the lifts. Do not re-enter the building until told to do so by a member of staff.

Recording of Meetings –In line with the council's commitment to transparency the public part of the meeting will be audio recorded, and may also be filmed and broadcast through the online application Periscope. If filmed, the footage will be available through the council's main Twitter feed @RBWM or via the Periscope website. The audio recording will also be made available on the RBWM website, after the meeting.

Filming, recording and photography of public Council meetings may be undertaken by any person attending the meeting. By entering the meeting room you are acknowledging that you may be audio or video recorded and that this recording will be in the public domain. If you have any questions regarding the council's policy, please speak to the Democratic Services or Legal representative at the meeting

AGENDA

PART I

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE NO</u>
1.	<u>WELCOME FROM THE NEW CHAIRMAN</u> The new Chairman to welcome the new panel.	-
2.	<u>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</u> To receive apologies for absence.	-
3.	<u>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</u> To receive Declarations of Interests from Members of the Forum in respect of any item to be considered at the meeting.	5 - 6
4.	<u>MINUTES</u> To confirm the Part I minutes of the meeting of the Forum held on the 23/10/18.	7 - 10
5.	<u>TOWN CENTRE PARKING PROVISION & CAPACITY</u> To receive an update on the above titled item by Barbara Richardson- Managing Director of RBWM Property Co.	Verbal Report
6.	<u>BELL STREET AND STATION FORECOURT WORKS</u> To receive a presentation on the above titled item from Ben Smith- Head of Commissioning- Communities.	Verbal Report
7.	<u>STREET FURNITURE</u> To receive a verbal report on the above titled item by Ben Smith, Head of Commissioning- Communities.	Verbal Report
8.	<u>ITEM SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE FORUMS</u> The Forum is invited to make suggestions for future meetings.	-
9.	<u>DATE OF FUTURE MEETINGS</u> All future meetings to be held on the following dates (at 6.30pm): <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 24/07/19- venue to be confirmed• 05/11/19- venue to be confirmed• 25/03.2019- venue to confirmed	-

This page is intentionally left blank

MEMBERS' GUIDE TO DECLARING INTERESTS IN MEETINGS

Disclosure at Meetings

If a Member has not disclosed an interest in their Register of Interests, they **must make** the declaration of interest at the beginning of the meeting, or as soon as they are aware that they have a DPI or Prejudicial Interest. If a Member has already disclosed the interest in their Register of Interests they are still required to disclose this in the meeting if it relates to the matter being discussed.

A member with a DPI or Prejudicial Interest **may make representations at the start of the item but must not take part in the discussion or vote at a meeting.** The speaking time allocated for Members to make representations is at the discretion of the Chairman of the meeting. In order to avoid any accusations of taking part in the discussion or vote, after speaking, Members should move away from the panel table to a public area or, if they wish, leave the room. If the interest declared has not been entered on to a Members' Register of Interests, they must notify the Monitoring Officer in writing within the next 28 days following the meeting.

Disclosable Pecuniary Interests (DPIs) (relating to the Member or their partner) include:

- Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain.
- Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit made in respect of any expenses occurred in carrying out member duties or election expenses.
- Any contract under which goods and services are to be provided/works to be executed which has not been fully discharged.
- Any beneficial interest in land within the area of the relevant authority.
- Any licence to occupy land in the area of the relevant authority for a month or longer.
- Any tenancy where the landlord is the relevant authority, and the tenant is a body in which the relevant person has a beneficial interest.
- Any beneficial interest in securities of a body where:
 - a) that body has a piece of business or land in the area of the relevant authority, and
 - b) either (i) the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body **or** (ii) the total nominal value of the shares of any one class belonging to the relevant person exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.

Any Member who is unsure if their interest falls within any of the above legal definitions should seek advice from the Monitoring Officer in advance of the meeting.

A Member with a DPI should state in the meeting: ***'I declare a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in item x because xxx. As soon as we come to that item, I will leave the room/ move to the public area for the entire duration of the discussion and not take part in the vote.'***

Or, if making representations on the item: ***'I declare a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in item x because xxx. As soon as we come to that item, I will make representations, then I will leave the room/ move to the public area for the entire duration of the discussion and not take part in the vote.'***

Prejudicial Interests

Any interest which a reasonable, fair minded and informed member of the public would reasonably believe is so significant that it harms or impairs the Member's ability to judge the public interest in the item, i.e. a Member's decision making is influenced by their interest so that they are not able to impartially consider relevant issues.

A Member with a Prejudicial interest should state in the meeting: ***'I declare a Prejudicial Interest in item x because xxx. As soon as we come to that item, I will leave the room/ move to the public area for the entire duration of the discussion and not take part in the vote.'***

Or, if making representations in the item: ***'I declare a Prejudicial Interest in item x because xxx. As soon as we come to that item, I will make representations, then I will leave the room/ move to the public area for the entire duration of the discussion and not take part in the vote.'***

Personal interests

Any other connection or association which a member of the public may reasonably think may influence a Member when making a decision on council matters.

Members with a Personal Interest should state at the meeting: ***'I wish to declare a Personal Interest in item x because xxx'. As this is a Personal Interest only, I will take part in the discussion and vote on the matter.***

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 4

MAIDENHEAD TOWN FORUM

TUESDAY, 23 OCTOBER 2018

PRESENT: Councillors Philip Love (Chairman), Hari Sharma (Vice-Chairman), Derek Wilson, Marion Mills and Charles Hollingsworth

Also in attendance: Councillor Dudley (Substitute)

Officers: Russell O'Keefe, David Scott, Barbara Richardson and Nabihah Hassan-Farooq

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Gilmore and Cllr Sharp. Councillor Dudley and Cllr Hollingsworth were present as substitutes.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None.

MINUTES

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting of the Forum held on the 3rd October 2017 be approved.

The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting and informed them that it was being recorded.

MAIDENHEAD REGENERATION- PUBLIC PARKING PROVISION

The Chair gave a speech on parking provision and the benefits of the regeneration occurring in Maidenhead. Barbara Richardson, Managing Director of RBWM Property Ltd gave a presentation on the Maidenhead regeneration and public parking provision.

Barbara Richardson gave a presentation on the Maidenhead Regeneration- Public Parking Provision. It was outlined that there were 3411 car parking spaces currently available in Maidenhead. In line with the proposed increased of car parking there would also be infrastructure requirements and regeneration outputs which included the delivery of 4000 homes, new community facilities, education, health and leisure. Councillor Dudley queried whether the current parking provision had taken the reduction of car spaces available at Hines Meadow into account and it was confirmed that these spaces had been deducted by the total figure. Members were informed of the current car parking spaces provision as follows:

- Broadway/Nicholson- 734 spaces
- Station Approach- 79 spaces
- Hines Meadow- 1328 spaces
- Magnet/ St Clouds Way- 248 spaces
- Stafferton Way- 570 spaces
- Braywick- 200 spaces
- Town Hall- 111 spaces
- Grove Road- 82 spaces
- West Street- 59 spaces

Members were informed that temporary surface car parking would be provided at the Ten Pin Bowling Site- St Clouds Way (105), Clyde House Warehouse- Reform Road (70) and at the Landing Site (80). It was also outlined that there would be new permanent public car parking provision at Vicus Way- 1 & 2 Stafferton Way (Nene site) (503) and Broadway- Town Centre (1354). It was highlighted that there would be private sector proposals which would be considered and would not be included in the total number of temporary (total- 255 spaces) and total new permanent spaces (total new permanent spaces -1857). It was noted that parking for private residential new build development would also sit outside of these numbers. Members were shown the Landing site location, ten pin bowling site location and Clyde House warehouse site location maps. It was outlined that planning submission for temporary provision (excluding the Landing site) would be submitted in July 2018, the planning decision would be considered in September 2018 and further to this works would begin on site in October 2018 with the ambition for practical completion in February 2019.

Councillor Dudley queried whether the parking had a specific purpose and was located to the peripheral areas of the town centre. It was confirmed that the parking would be aimed at season ticket holders for parking and those who commuted to the town for employment. It was discussed that the loss of 155 spaces at Hines Meadow which were central to the town and that the parking offer for shoppers had been taken into consideration. It confirmed that there had been discussions and it had been agreed in principle with Shanly homes regarding parking at the ten pin bowling site which would commence within the next two years and the site would be available from March 2019. It was noted that there would be 200 car parking spaces to be made available. Councillor Hollingsworth queried whether there would be a particular use for the car parking spaces and it was confirmed that the spaces at Vicus Way would be targeted at attracting Season ticket holders and commuters whilst the Nicholson centre would maintain its focus on parking for shoppers. It was highlighted that there would be parking made available on individual residential schemes and all housing regeneration schemes in the city centre would have car club schemes. It was queried whether users of the car club would need to pay towards a permit when driving vehicles in the car club offer and it was confirmed that there would be a trial period for residents followed by subscription and rental charges. All users of the car club would be subject to normal driving restrictions and the highway code. Councillor Love noted that car clubs had been successful and proved far cheaper for city dwellers and that he had recently visited a development in Action which had been more cost effective for residents than owning their own car and would help in higher use of public transport. Councillor Sharma noted that both the Vicus Way and Broadway car park projects were relevant and well received by residents and individual wards. He wished to place a vote of thanks on record for the officers involved. Members stated that they would like to see good value for money for season ticket holders to attract a larger audience.

Councillor Mills queried the visibility features within the car parks and whether this included LED lighting. It was confirmed that for access requirements that LED lighting would be used. Councillor Sharma asked whether vehicle number plate recognition would be used as opposed to pay and display. It was confirmed that there would be a pay and display scheme operating. Councillor Wilson reminded the Forum that there was a service access to the right of Sienna Court and that there should be consideration given to the two way flow of exit from Broadway Car Park. Barbara Richardson confirmed that the service access would remain and retain its current use. Councillor Hollingsworth highlighted that there would be one exit lane from the Nicholson centre after the development and wished to note his concern. Councillor Sharma noted that there would be more details contained within the planning application and that the intended single lane layout could increase congestion and that he felt two lanes were needed especially with consideration to HGV and emergency vehicle access in that domain. Councillor Hollingsworth highlighted safety concerns for staff who would have to walk to the Vicus Car Park on their own and it was confirmed that Grove Road would remain open until Broadway was demolished in 2023.

ACTION- That Barbara Richardson work with officers to look at the reduction of 1 exit lane from the Nicholson Centre and its impact.

Members queried the future use of the Nicholson centre and Cllr Dudley confirmed that a sale of the centre was taking place on behalf of the lenders and that there would be a 3-4 week period of time for bids to be tendered. It was confirmed that RBWM had ownership of 50% of the freehold site and that they were a material stakeholder. Work upon the appropriate retail offer was taking place and that there was a focus on resident's needs. The site had been previously owned by a hedge fund owner and this had come to an end as there had been a foreclosure on the loan against the site. Councillor Dudley stated that this was a good opportunity for a new owner to drive forward plans for the future alongside RBWM as a key stakeholder. Councillor Love stated that he wished to see the regeneration of the city centre to include specialised shopping areas like Camden. Councillor Dudley stated that there was extreme volatility in retail and that RBWM could draw upon the expertise of partners and that there were good opportunities available with partners for a retail venture.

MAIDENHEAD & COX GREEN NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN - STEERING GROUP UPDATE

Richard Davenport of Cox Green Steering Group updated the Forum on the above titled item. It was outlined that the Maidenhead & Cox Green Neighbourhood Plan was in the development and design phase and that there had been compliance works with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and emerging Borough Local Plan (BLP). Impacts to the Neighbourhood Plan and a consultation with the public were the next pieces of work to be carried out. It was highlighted that the development and design incorporated:

- Streetscape and settings
- Building heights
- Space and private amenities
- Parking
- Affordable housing
- Housing market mix
- On road parking provision
- Market, environment and sustainability
- Addressing of cycling and walking routes
- Local green spaces
- Green corridors
- Views
- Community and health facilities
- St Mark's hospital
- Heritage and existing conservation areas
- Site specific policies
- Maidenhead Water Way Corridors

It was highlighted that there would be further public consultations and that AECOM consultants would be looking at the plan in more detail. It was noted that there would be further inspection of the BLP and a referendum would be held next year. At the conclusion of the update, Councillor Hollingsworth queried whether an impact assessment had been carried out. It was confirmed that the impact assessments had been carried out on particular pieces of work, such as increase to car parking provision and the introduction of cross rail. As a result of the assessment it was found that the plan had only addresses growth of demand and not existing parking problems under the BLP inspection and that some issues did not allow legal challenge such as double parking. Councillor Sharma noted that the bus services were vital and that these services helped to tackle isolation and that consideration should be given to this matter under the intended Neighbourhood Plan. It was confirmed that if a Neighbourhood Plan was adopted that Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) monies could be used locally to decide where and how money is spent and that bus services could fall under this.

Councillor D Wilson highlighted that the Neighbourhood Plan could focus attention on the style and features of the area and reminded members that the BLP and Transportation Plan would include all modular transport along with the Cycling Strategy. Members were informed that the BLP was progressing and was going to phase II in 2019. The Neighbourhood Plan would focus on individual sites in order to deliver a communal benefit. CIL money could be reused for ongoing community development. Members were informed that the Neighbourhood Plan would need to be adopted before any investment of CIL money and that it should be a popular plan, flexible and not confined within BLP queries. Councillor Dudley reminded the Forum that the Bray Neighbourhood Plan had not been adopted and that this had been a atrophy of funds and resources as the plan had not been modified and did not continue to a referendum. Councillor D Wilson stated that Bray had not accepted the independent planning officer's recommendations and that the referendum would have allowed residents to vote on the adoption of the plan.

QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION

A Member of the public queried whether Pinkeys Green would be included in the Neighbourhood Plan and what the time scales for adoption would be. It was confirmed that the Maidenhead and Cox Green plan was up for adoption in 2019 and would be in line with the BLP timescales and that the plan would include Pinkneys Green as well. Councillor Love clarified that Cox Green and Maidenhead would not be able to out rule each other in decisions that affected their individual areas. Members of the Forum were told that there would be a consultation held specifically in Cox Green with residents ahead of the new year.

ITEM SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE FORUMS

The Chairman informed everyone that where ideas were suggested that these items could be put forward and discussed as decided as to whether they were believed to be feasible.

It was requested that item suggestions be emailed to nabihah.hassan-farooq@rbwm.gov.uk

DATE OF FUTURE MEETINGS

To be confirmed and circulated to all forum members.

The meeting, which began at 6.34 pm, finished at 7.43 pm

CHAIRMAN.....

DATE.....